

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,081	11/13/2003	David H. Coy	00537-164003	7933
26161 7	590 10/19/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			DELACROIX MUIRHEI, CYBILLE	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1614	,

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/712,081	COY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid	_1614		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	July 2005.			
•	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-43</u> is/are pending in the application	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-21,23 and 32-43</u> is/are rejected.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22 and 24-31</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ived in this National Stage		
application from the International Burea	, , , ,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not recei	ved.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)		
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	l Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/712,081 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

Detailed Action

The following is responsive to applicant's amendment received July 29, 2005.

Claims 1-17 are cancelled. Claims 18-43 are currently pending.

Upon further consideration of the claims, and in view of the fact that each application is examined on its own merits, the following new ground(s) of rejection is respectfully submitted.

Allowability is withdrawn, and prosecution on the merits is reopened.

Claim Rejection(s)—35 USC 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 18, substituent "R3" is not specifically defined. Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the claimed invention.

For this office action, however, "R3" will be given the definite described in claim 23.

Claim Rejection(s)—35 USC 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 18, 19, 20, 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Coy et al., 5,462,926 (already of record).

Art Unit: 1614

Coy et al. disclose somatostatin analogs represented by the Formula (I):

wherein R1-R4 are as defined in col. 3, line 61 to col. 14, line 15. Specific species disclosed by

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Art Unit: 1614

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 18, 19, 20, 23, 32-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bass et al., 5,846,934 (already of record).

Bass et al. disclose the invention substantially as claimed. Specifically, Bass et al. disclose a somatostatin antagonist having the formula (I):

$$R_1 \longrightarrow AA_1-D-Cys-AA_2-D-Trp-AA_3-AA_4-Cys-AA_5-NH_2$$
(I)

wherein

R₁ and R₂ are each independently H, C₁-C₈alkyl, COR or COOR;

AA₁ is the D or L isomer of an aromatic α-amino acid optionally substituted with one to three NO₂, CN, Cl, Br, I, F, COR₃, COOR₃ or OR₃ groups;

AA₂ is the D or L isomer of an aromatic α-amino acid optionally substituted with one to three NO₂, CN, Cl, Br, I, F, COR₄, COOR₄ or OR₄ groups;

AA₃ is the D or L isomer of Arg, Lys, Orn or Cit;

AA₄ is Val, Leu, Ile, Abu, Nle, Thr, 3-R₅-Ser, Thr(Bzl) or Ser(Bzl), with the proviso that when AA₄ is Thr, then AA₁ must be the L isomer;

AA₅ is the D or L isomer of an aromatic α-amino acid optionally substituted with one to three NO₂, CN, Cl, Br, I, F, COR₆, COOR₆ or OR₆ groups, N(CH₃)Ala, N(R₇)-α-amino acid, Thr or Ser, with the proviso that the number of D-amino acid residues within the cyclic portion of the peptide is an even number;

R is C_1 - C_8 alkyl, phenyl, phenyl(C_1 - C_4)alkyl; naphthyl, or naphthyl (C_1 - C_4) alkyl;

R₂, R₃, R₄, R₆ and R₇ are each independently H or C₁-C₈alkyl; and

R₅ is H, C₁-C₈alkyl, or the D or L isomer of an aromatic α-amino acid optionally substituted with one to three NO₂, CN, Cl, Br, I, F, COR₆ or OR₆ groups; or the pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof.

Art Unit: 1614

Bass et al. teach that the peptides of formula (I) may be used in methods for increasing insulin release, increasing the release of growth hormone, increasing the release of glucagons and enhancing growth.

Bass et al. additional disclose that the peptides of formula (I) are selective for SSTR2 and SSTR5 and there are methods disclosed for imaging cells having somatostatin receptors. Please see col. 1, lines 30-42; col. 2, lines 13-65; Example 4.

Claims 34, 35, 40, 41 are anticipated by Bass et al. because Bass et al. disclose administration of identical peptides to a host using applicant's claimed method steps. Therefore, promotion of angiogenesis or wound healing would be inherent.

Claim Rejection(s)—35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 1614

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 18-19, 23, 24, 25, 36, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coy et al., 5,597,894 (already of record) in view of Bass et al., Molecular Pharmacology.

Coy et al. teach the following somatostatin analogs:

Coy et al. disclose that the somatostatin analogs can be used in imaging techniques such as using the analogs to identify somatostatin-receptor expressing tumors. See col. 17, lines 12-23; col. 8, lines 25-41; col. 3, lines 25-26; Table 2, WOC-2A.

Coy et al. do not disclose modification of the Cys at position 2 into a D-amino acid. However, the examiner refers to Bass et al., which studies the identification and characterization of somatostatin antagonists, wherein said antagonists contain a core structure of DL-cystein pair at positions 2 and 7. Please see the abstract.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the analogs of Coy et al. to have a D-Cys at position 2 because Bass et al.

Art Unit: 1614

teach that somatostatin analogs with a D-Cys(2) and L-Cys(7) display potent antagonist properties. Please see page 713, second column, third full paragraph.

5. Claims 22, 24-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Claims 18-21, 23, 32-43 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid** whose telephone number is **571-272-0572**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:30 to 6:00 as well as every other Friday from 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher Low**, can be reached on **571-272-0951**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1614

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CDM() Oct. 17, 2005

DWAYNE JONES PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8